



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,827	12/08/2003	Ramon Efrain Vasquez	320607.00400	9280

27160 7590 10/11/2005

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
525 WEST MONROE STREET
CHICAGO, IL 60661-3693

EXAMINER

PRATT, HELEN F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1761

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/728,827

Applicant(s)

VASQUEZ, RAMON EFRAIN

Examiner

Helen F. Pratt

Art Unit

1761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheikh (5,213,838) in view of Nakagawa et al. (4,963,387).

Sheikh discloses a method of making a seasoning solution containing water, potassium salt, acid, magnesium salt and calcium salt by mixing saturated hydroxide solution of potassium or calcium with citric acid to make citrates salts of potassium and calcium to which further inorganic acid is added to make a crystalline precipitate in the form of a slurry (col. 7, lines 1-26, col. 5, lines 25-35). Claim 17 differs from the reference in adding water to the reacted salts to make a slurry. However, nothing new is seen in making an aqueous solution of the salts instead of drying them, as in making soy sauce which is an aqueous salt containing mixture. Nakagawa et al. disclose a aqueous salt solution (abstract and ex. 7-9). Claim 17 further differs from the reference in the particular amounts of the ingredients. However, the reference to Sheikh discloses that the particular amounts are manipulated so that the combination of ingredients produce saline, sweet and sour taste elements like salt (col. 3, lines 35-45-51). Nothing is seen that such amounts as in the reference are not within the claimed amounts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make an aqueous mixture as

Art Unit: 1761

shown by Nakagawa et al. in the process of Sheikh and to use particular amounts of ingredients.

The composition of claim 1 has been disclosed above and is obvious for those reasons. Potassium citrate is disclosed in col. 8, lines 40-49 as in claim 2, and citric acid as in claims 3 and 9 (col. 8, lines 1-10), magnesium, and calcium chloride are disclosed in col. 8, lines 35-41 and col. 7, lines 55-64.

Nothing new is seen in the use of distilled or demineralized water as in claim 6 or that the composition is colorless, odorless, and has heightened salinity because purified water is commonly used as drinking water (col. 4, lines 30-33). The composition would be colorless, odorless as in claim 7 since salts are used which commonly mix with water leaving the water colorless, odorless. The addition of salts to water would have of course made the water taste salty. The composition would not need refrigeration as in claim 8, since salts usually preclude the growth of bacteria. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make a composition using purified water and which is colorless and is stable, odorless and has heightened salinity.

Claim 10 further requires the use of additives such as essences, colorants and aromatizers and claim 11 that the solution can be used during cooking. However, nothing new is seen in flavoring and coloring a salty solution as in the use of soy sauce which contains salts and flavorings, which can be used before, during and after cooking. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use additives and to use the salty composition in cooking.

Claim 12 further requires the use of salt in amounts up to 20%. Nakawawa et al. disclose the use of 20% sodium chloride in a composition which also contains potassium, calcium and magnesium (col. 11, lines 45-60 and col. 3, lines 35-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to use known amounts of salt in a salt substitute as shown by Nakawawa et al. in the composition of the combined references.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above combined references as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Tanpei (58-081758).

Claim 13 further requires particular amounts of flavoring agents which are essences of vegetables, claim 14 thickening agents and preservatives and claim 15, bottles with a dropper and claim 16 the use of the solution as a dietary supplement. However, seasoned salts are well known as in garlic salt, onion salt, as are thickening agents and preservative and droppers or sprayers. Tanpei et al. disclose the use of a potassium chloride product which contains citric acid, and contains an additional flavoring ingredient such as monosodium glutamate, and salt and vitamins and minerals (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to use known ingredients and known types of bottles and to use the composition as a dietary supplement since it includes known trace elements which are needed by the body and which are commonly used as supplements.

MISCELLANEOUS

Claim 15 needs a period at the end of the sentence.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen F. Pratt whose telephone number is 571-272-1404. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Milton Cano, can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hp 10-5-05


HELEN PRATT
PRIMARY EXAMINER